



Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 09/720,326

Examiner: Karen A. Canella

First Named Applicant: Sato et al.

Group Art Unit: 1642

Status of Application: RCE filed herewith

Tentative Participants:

(1) Examiner Karen A. Canella

(2) Rebecca M. McNeill

(3) Amy E. Purcell

(4)

Proposed Date of Interview: May 18, 2005 Proposed Time: 10:00 a.m.

Type of Interview Requested:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Personal
☐ Video Conference

Exhibit to be Shown or Demonstrated?

- ☐ Yes
☒ No

If yes, provide brief description:

Issues to be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj. etc.)	Claims/Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
Obviousness	1, 4, 6, 9-16, 19-21, and 33-42	Segar, <i>Schlom, Gristina,</i> and <i>Sato</i> .	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

Applicants submit that none of the references cited by the Examiner teach or suggest the use of any agents to treat hypercalcemic crisis and therefore the claims are not obvious. Applicants will explain the difficulties in treating hypercalcemic crisis and the differences between hypercalcemia and hypercalcemic crisis.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on: _____.

Note: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the Examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR § 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

Amy E. Purcell
Amy E. Purcell, Reg. No. 53,492

Examiner/SPE Signature